

REMARKS

The previously-filed Responses To Office Action Mailed 04/28/2004 and Mailed 07/07/2004 are incorporated herein.

Applicant appreciates the Examiner's comments in the Advisory Action. It is noted that even if it is assumed for the sake of argument that two fans are provided (which assumption is denied and which Applicant believes is not supported by the cited art or any proper combination of it), Applicant's invention as now claimed goes beyond the provision of two fans. If two fans are present, they can direct air in numerous possible directions, including, but not limited to, up, down, diverging, parallel, converging, and in different directions. Of all possible directions no cited art teaches or suggests any particular direction. Of all these possibilities, the present invention alone teaches that the two fans are positioned so that their air flows intersect. Applicant has discovered that such direction of the air flows from two fans dissipates hot air beneath a vehicle roof - a problem neither recognized nor addressed by any cited art.

The Advisory Action says "Applicant is arguing what would naturally flow from two fans whose air flow intersect." It may be that two intersecting air flows create an area of turbulent air flow; but Applicant's invention is much more than this. Part of the invention is discovering that two intersecting air flows can be used so that their intersection in turbulence dissipates heat under a vehicle roof.

Orr's (U.S. 6,158,140) has no teaching or suggestion of two fans and his single fan has multiple positions for: (1.) maximizing air flow of a single fan; (2.) for orienting the single fan at one of two passengers; and (3.) for minimizing obstruction of vision by the fan. Orr neither recognizes nor addresses the problem of heat beneath a vehicle roof.


Conclusion

Applicant appreciates the Examiner's consideration of these Remarks. This is intended to be a complete Response to the Advisory Action and to the Office Action. Early and favorable reconsideration is respectfully requested.

Respectfully submitted,

Date: **25 AUG 04**
PMB 347
16690 Champion Forest Drive
Spring, TX. 77379--7023


Phone: 281 893 5244


Guy McClung
Reg. No. 29,008

CERTIFICATE OF FACSIMILE TRANSMISSION

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being facsimile transmitted to Phone No. 703 872 9306 to the Examiner at: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on

Date: **25 AUG 04**
25 AUG 04


Guy McClung, Reg. No. 29,008

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.8(a)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with sufficient postage as first class U.S. mail with the United States Postal Service in an envelope addressed to: Mail Stop AF, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450 on **25 AUG 04**

Date: **25 AUG 04**


Guy McClung, Reg. No. 29,008

Auto-Reply Facsimile Transmission



TO:

Fax Sender at 2818935258

Fax Information

Date Received:

8/25/2004 3:34:07 PM [Eastern Daylight Time]

Total Pages:

7 (including cover page)

ADVISORY: This is an automatically generated return receipt confirmation of the facsimile transmission received by the Office. Please check to make sure that the number of pages listed as received in Total Pages above matches what was intended to be sent. Applicants are advised to retain this receipt in the unlikely event that proof of this facsimile transmission is necessary. Applicants are also advised to use the certificate of facsimile transmission procedures set forth in 37 CFR 1.8(a) and (b), 37 CFR 1.6(f). Trademark Applicants, also see the Trademark Manual of Examining Procedure (TMEP) section 306 et seq.

Received
Cover
Page

=====>

08/25/2004 14:34

=== COVER PAGE ===

TO:

FAX: 17038729306

FROM:

GUJ MCCLUNG PC

FAX: 2818935258

TEL: 2818935244

COMMENT: CONFIDENTIAL

PAGE 1/7 * RCVD AT 8/25/2004 3:34:07 PM [Eastern Daylight Time] * SVRLUSPTO-EE*ARF-1/3 * DNIS:8729306 * CSID:2818935258 * DURATION (mm:ss):03:34